### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA 2006 NOV 21 INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Plaintiff.

٧.

DANIEL R. RAPIER, NAOMI LYNN RAPIER, and FRANKLIN COUNTY, INDIANA

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO.

1: 06-cv-1686-LJM-WTL

#### **COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff, the United States of America, by the authority of the Attorney General of the United States of America, on behalf of the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), and by and through its undersigned attorneys, alleges as follows:

#### NATURE OF THE ACTION

This is a civil action pursuant to Section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental 1. Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. § 9607, as amended. The United States seeks recovery of un-reimbursed costs incurred by the United States in responding to a release and/or threat of release of hazardous substances at the Laurel Stone Church Road Site (the "LSCR Site" or the "Site") located in Franklin County, Indiana. In addition, the United States seeks a declaratory judgment under Section 113(g)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9613(g)(2), that Defendants are jointly and severally liable for any future response costs incurred by the United States in connection with the Site.

# **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and the parties hereto pursuant to Sections 107(a) and 113(b) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a) and 9613(b), and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1345.
- 3. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to Section 113(b) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9613(b), and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c), because the threatened and/or actual releases of hazardous substances occurred, and the Site is located, in this district.

#### **DEFENDANTS**

- 4. Husband and wife Daniel R. Rapier and Naomi Lynn Rapier (the "Rapiers") are the present owners of the LSCR Site. The Rapiers purchased the LSCR Site as part of the approximately 500-acre property located at 19105 Stone Church Road in Laurel Township, Indiana on February 5, 1991. Daniel and Naomi Rapier are each a "person" within the meaning of Section 101(21) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(21).
- 5. Franklin County, Indiana ("Franklin County") leased the LSCR Site and operated it as a dump from approximately August 1, 1966 to January 1, 1971. Franklin County is a "person" within the meaning of Section 101(21) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(21).

#### **BACKGROUND**

## **Facility History**

- 6. The LSCR Site is an area comprising approximately 10 acres of an approximately 500-acre property located at 19105 Stone Church Road in Laurel Township, Franklin County, Indiana, approximately two miles east of Laurel, Indiana.
- 7. The LSCR Site is in a clearing between two branches of the Little Duck Creek. The clearing also includes a residence and a barn. The remaining property surrounding the Site consists of farm fields and wooded areas.
- 8. The two tributaries of Little Duck Creek on the LSCR Site discharge downstream into the Whitewater River. The Whitewater River is used for recreational purposes, including fishing and

canoeing. The Laurel Water Utility has two wells located in the Whitewater River Valley aquifer, providing water for domestic and manufacturing needs.

- 9. The LSCR Site is bordered by rural residential properties along Stone Church Road, which use residential water wells for drinking water.
- 10. Franklin County leased the LSCR Site and operated it as a dump from approximately August 1, 1966 to January 1, 1971.
- 11. The Rapiers purchased the LSCR Site as part of a 500-acre property located at 19105 Stone Church Road in Laurel Township, Indiana, on February 5, 1991.

#### **United States' Response Action**

- 12. On April 23, 2002, the Indiana Department of Environmental Management ("IDEM") requested the assistance of the EPA in conducting Site investigation and removal activities at the LSCR Site.
- 13. On April 29, 2002 and again on May 22, 2002, EPA and its Superfund Technical Assessment and Remediation Team ("START") contractor, Roy Weston, Inc., conducted site investigations, including test trenching and soil sampling.
- 14. The investigations revealed partially buried drums in varying stages of deterioration at multiple locations in the LSCR Site, including in a grass area near the residence, in Little Duck Creek, and in the embankment above Little Duck Creek. There was unrestricted access to drum disposal areas, and contents were spilled at numerous locations.
- 15. During their investigations, EPA and its START contractor obtained samples from subsurface and partially buried drums, which they sent to ACE Technologies, Inc. for analysis. Tests of these samples revealed hazardous wastes of at least three types waste with flash points of less than 140 degrees Fahrenheit, waste with a Toxicity Characteristic Leachate Procedure ("TCLP") lead concentration of greater than 5 mg/l, and waste with a TCLP benzene concentration of greater than 0.5 mg/l along with xylene, a CERCLA hazardous substance.
  - 16. It was clear that the contents of deteriorating drums had been spilled at the Site and

testing identified elevated levels of hazardous substances in waste residues in soils.

- 17. Based on the investigations and sample analysis, the EPA determined that an imminent and substantial endangerment to public health, welfare, or the environment existed at the LSCR Site.
- 18. On June 13, 2002, EPA notified the Rapiers by letter of the EPA's determination of the necessity for an emergency response action at the LSCR Site, and gave them the opportunity to perform the response action. The Rapiers declined to perform or finance the response action.
- 19. On July 24, 2002, the EPA Superfund Division Director for EPA Region 5 signed an Action Memorandum for a time-critical removal, determining that the actual release or threatened release of hazardous substances at the LSCR Site posed an imminent and substantial endangerment to public health and welfare or the environment.
- 20. On August 22, 2002, Mr. Rapier granted access to EPA for response-related CERCLA activities at the Site.
- 21. From October 10, 2002 to August 15, 2003, EPA conducted CERCLA emergency removal activities at the Site. Removal activities included excavation of topsoil, removal and disposal of partially buried and subsurface drums, backfilling and grading, and removal and replacement of a portion of the road that was damaged by the heavy disposal trucks. A total of 2,656 drums and 5,256 tons of contaminated soil and other waste were transported off-site for disposal.
- 22. As of April 30, 2006, EPA had expended at least \$2,381,429.21 in costs for its response activities related to the LSCR Site. EPA has continued and will continue to incur response costs, including administrative and enforcement costs, as a result of the continued release and/or threatened release of hazardous substances at the Site.
- 23. On June 7, 2006, EPA issued demand letters to Defendants for costs associated with the emergency response action at the LSCR Site. As of the date of this Complaint, Defendants have not made any payments to EPA in response to the demand letters.

# COUNT ONE Recovery of Costs Under CERCLA § 107, 42 U.S.C. § 9607

- 24. Paragraphs 1 through 23 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 25. Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a), provides, in pertinent part: "(1) the owner and operator of a vessel or a facility, (2) any person who at the time of disposal of any hazardous substance owned or operated any facility at which such hazardous substances were disposed of . . . shall be liable for -- (A) all costs of removal or remedial action incurred by the United States Government . . . not inconsistent with the national contingency plan . . ."
- 26. CERCLA Section 113(g)(2), 42 U.S.C. § 9613(g)(2), provides, in pertinent part: "In any such action [for recovery of costs]..., the court shall enter a declaratory judgment on liability for response costs or damages that will be binding on any subsequent action or actions to recover further response costs or damages."
- 27. Defendants Daniel and Naomi Rapier are owners of the LSCR Site within the meaning of Section 101(20) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(20), and Section 107(a)(1) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a)(1).
- 28. Defendant Franklin County was the operator of the LSCR Site at the time of disposal of hazardous substances within the meaning of Section 101(20) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(20), and Section 107(a)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a)(2).
- 29. The LSCR Site is a "facility" within the meaning of Section 101(9) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(9), because it is a site or area where a hazardous substance has been deposited, stored, disposed of, or placed, or otherwise come to be located.
- 30. There have been "releases," or the substantial threat of releases, within the meaning of Section 101(22) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(22), of hazardous substances at or from the LSCR Site, including releases or threatened releases of lead, benzene, xylene, and hazardous wastes.
- 31. In response to the release or substantial threat of release of hazardous substances at the LSCR Site, the United States has taken response actions at the LSCR Site within the meaning of Section 101(25) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(25), and has incurred response costs as set forth

in Paragraph 22 in connection with taking those response actions. Additional response costs have been and continue to be incurred, including enforcement costs.

- 32. The response action taken, and the response costs incurred, by the United States at and in connection with the LSCR Site were not inconsistent with the National Contingency Plan, 40 C.F.R. Part 300.
- 33. Defendants are jointly and severally liable to the United States pursuant to Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a), for all un-reimbursed response costs incurred, and to be incurred, by the United States in connection with the LSCR Site, including enforcement costs and prejudgment interest on such costs.
- 34. Pursuant to Section 113(g)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9613(g)(2), the United States is entitled to a declaratory judgment that each of the Defendants is jointly and severally liable for future response costs that the United States may incur in connection with the LSCR Site.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, the United States of America, respectfully requests that the Court:

- 1. Pursuant to Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a), enter judgments against each Defendant, jointly and severally, in favor of the United States for all response costs incurred by the United States for response activities relating to the LSCR Site, including prejudgment interest;
- 2. Enter a declaratory judgment pursuant to Section 113(g)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9613(g)(2), that Defendants are liable under Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a), for future response costs incurred at the LSCR Site.
  - 3. Award the United States the costs of this action; and
  - 4. Grant such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

For the United States of America

W. BENJAMIN FISHEROW Deputy Chief Environmental Enforcement Section Environment and Natural Resources Division United States Department of Justice

DATE: 11/20 06

Trial Attorney
Environmental Enforcement Section
Environment and Natural Resources Division
United States Department of Justice
P.O. Box 7611
Washington, D.C. 20044-7611
Telephone: (202) 305-0260
Facsimile: (202) 514-8395

SUSAN W. BROOKS United States Attorney

laura.thoms@usdoj.gov

JILL E. ZENGLER Chief, Civil Division United States Attorney's Office Southern District of Indiana 10 West Market Street Suite 2100 Indianapolis, Indiana 46204 Telephone: (317) 229-2417 Facsimile: (317) 226-5027

# **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

ne JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided clocal rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating court in the purpose of initi

(a) PLAINTIFFS		year of the second	DEFENDANTS	<del></del>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
United States of America		Daniel R. Rapier, Naomi Rapier, and Franklin County			
			, samer it. rapier,	radin rapier, and ran	ikiii Codiity
(b) County of Residence	County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff		2: 55 County of Residence	of First Listed Defendant	Butler County, Ohio
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF (		ASES)	RICT	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES	
		OF INDIAN		ID CONDEMNATION CASES, U	,
•		E LAURA A. BR	IGGS LAND	INVOLVED.	SE THE ECCATION OF THE
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)  Attorneys (If Known)					
Laura Thoms, U.S. E		ronment and Natural Resources See Attachment A			
Division P.O. Box 7611 Was	hington D.C. 20044-7611 (	202) 305-0260			THE TANK THE PARTY OF THE PARTY
P.O. Box 7611, Washington D.C. 20044-7611 (202) 305-0260  BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)  III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff					
. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X"	in One Box Only)	(For Diversity Cases Only)	'RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)
1 U.S. Government	3 Federal Question		PTF	DEF	PTF DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Governmen	t Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	I I I Incorporated or P	
2 U.S. Government	G 4 Disserts			of Business In Th	
Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity	him of Doubles in Item III	Citizen of Another State	1 2	
	(maicate Chizens	hip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen or Subject of a		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			Citizen or Subject of a  Foreign Country	J 3	<b>0</b> 6 <b>0</b> 6
V. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) DRTS	EODERALIDEMENT LAN	DANI/DATEMON/	OFFICE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
120 Marine	310 Airplane	362 Personal Injury -	☐ 610 Agriculture ☐ 620 Other Food & Drug	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal	☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust
130 Miller Act	☐ 315 Airplane Product	Med. Malpractice	☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure	28 USC 157	430 Banks and Banking
140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	365 Personal Injury -	of Property 21 USC 881		☐ 450 Commerce
& Enforcement of Judgment	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal	630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck	PROPERTY RIGHTS  3 820 Copyrights	☐ 460 Deportation☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and
151 Medicare Act	☐ 330 Federal Employers'	Injury Product	☐ 650 Airline Regs.	☐ 830 Patent	Corrupt Organizations
152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability  340 Marine	Liability	☐ 660 Occupational	☐ 840 Trademark	☐ 480 Consumer Credit
(Excl. Veterans)	345 Marine Product	PERSONAL PROPERT  370 Other Fraud	Y Safety/Health  G 690 Other		☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 810 Selective Service
153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	371 Truth in Lending	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	850 Securities/Commodities/
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle	380 Other Personal	710 Fair Labor Standards	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)	Exchange
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	Property Damage  385 Property Damage	Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410
195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal	Product Liability	☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions
196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY	Injury CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	& Disclosure Act	□ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts
210 Land Condemnation	☐ 441 Voting	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate	740 Railway Labor Act 790 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERAL TAX SUITS  ☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	X 893 Environmental Matters
220 Foreclosure	☐ 442 Employment	Sentence	791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	or Defendant)	☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/	Habeas Corpus:	Security Act	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	895 Freedom of Information
245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations  444 Welfare	☐ 530 General ☐ 535 Death Penalty		26 USC 7609	Act 900Appeal of Fee Determination
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities	540 Mandamus & Other	. [		Under Equal Access
	Employment	550 Civil Rights			to Justice
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	555 Prison Condition			☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights				State Statilles
. ORIGIN (Place :	an "X" in One Box Only)				Appeal to District
Original 2 Re	emoved from	Remanded from		ferred from	Judge from
Proceeding St	Cite the U.S. Civil S	Appellate Court	Reopened (speci- filing (Do not cite jurisdiction	fy) Litigation	l Judgment
I OLLION ON LOWIO	1 Commont and in Com	iatute unuer winen you are ironmental Response. Con	nning (Do not cite jurisdiction of the property of the propert	INI Statutes unless diversity); Terci a"v 42 i e c 8 0601	et can
I. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of a	cause:	sponse costs incurred by the U.S		
II. REQUESTED IN	_		at least		
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.	S IS A CLASS ACTION	<b>DEMAND \$</b> 2,381,429.2	1 CHECK YES only	if demanded in complaint:
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		JURY DEMAND:	Yes D No
III. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
ATE ,		SIGNATURE OF ATTO	DRNAY OF RECORD		
11/20/06		Tama .	Maria		
OR OFFICE USE ONLY			7		···
RECEIPT #AN	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	OGE

## **ATTACHMENT A: ATTORNEYS**

Attorney for Daniel and Naomi Rapier: Villiam D. Hayes Yorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP 21 East Fourth Street Juite 2000, Atrium Two

'.O. Box 0236 Cincinnati, OH 45201-0236

'elephone: 513-723-4024 mail: wdhayes@yssp.com

# Attorney for Franklin County

'elephone: (765) 647-4156

Sugene Stewart
D'Connor, Stewart & Wilhelm-Wagner
O1 Main Street
Brookville, Indiana 47012